## Implementation Strategies – Summary Sheet

EC07 -ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	GHG Reduction Potential	Cost	Lead Agency	Co-Benefits	Suggested Timeframe
Conduct a review of City financial assets/investments to determine extent of holdings in fossil fuel companies. (a) Based on review, divest from fossil fuel holdings and	Low	\$	City		Short
(b) provide options to employees for alternative investment strategies in climate-friendly financial portfolios.					
GHG Reduction: Low, Medium, High (general guideline	)	Er Er	nvironment	al Quality	
<b>Cost:</b> \$=0-\$200K, \$\$=\$200K-\$500K, \$\$\$=\$500K-\$5M, \$ and above	\$\$\$=\$5M	Lo Lo	cal Econom	ny	
Lead Agency: City or Other Agency		P	ublic Health		
Suggested Timeframe: Short = Now to 2 years, Med= 3-5 years,		Mobility Choice			
Long = Greater than 5 years		C	ommunity I	Equity	

Lead: Finance and Human Resources Department

Subject Matter Expert: Josh Eggleston, Michelle Bennett

## **Current Status:**

(a) Inclusion of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Parameters in scoring investments of City funds.

To meet the requirements of the new ESG parameters of the investment policy, a company must meet the following criteria:

- Issuer's ESG Risk Rating must be medium or lower as rated by Sustainalytics (less than 30 on a scale of 0-100, with lower scores indicating less ESG related risk)
- o Cannot be a company in the industries of energy services, oil & gas producers, or refiners & pipelines

The Finance Committee reviewed the proposed changes to the Policy at its meeting held on December 13, 2021 and approved forwarding the changes to the City Council.

February 28, 2022, Council approved amending Council Policy No. C-7, Investment Policy and Portfolio Guidelines to include ESG parameters and industry exclusions.

The Oregon Short Term Fund Board approved the changes in the City's investment policy.

Public meeting held February 28, 2022.

(b) Discussion with VOYA in process for potential of adding fossil-fuel free fund option for employees Currently Employees can opt to pay \$50/year to move funds into other investments outside of VOYA portfolio

## Implementation Strategies – Summary Sheet

### **Process:**

- (a) Divestment of investments to meet new policy in process. ESG scoring to be reviewed quarterly.
- (b) Adding new fund options must be approved by Deferred Compensation Plan Committee
  New funds must fall within credit rating criteria and meet ERISA (Employee Retirement Investment Security
  Act) requirements.

Once new fund option added VOYA will announce.

### **Considerations:**

- (a) Rate of divestment strategy
- (b) Opportunity for VOYA to provide more information to employees about climate friendly financial options in their retirement portfolios

#### Costs:

(a) Staff and consultant costs for ESG scoring and ranking reviews (proposed quarterly)

**Anticipated Timeline:** In-Process

## **Additional Information:**

## **Authority:**

Departmental Approval for (a) and (b)

## Implementation Strategies – Summary Sheet

TL30 -TRANSPORTATION & LAND USE	GHG Reduction Potential	Cost	Lead Agency	Co-Benefits	Suggested Timeframe
Implement a City policy that transitions all City- owned fleet vehicles to EVs, with priority emphasis on first replacing gas-powered vehicles. Consider electrifying City fleet vehicles at a faster rate than community members to lead by example.	Low	\$\$\$\$	City		Short
GHG Reduction: Low, Medium, High (general guideline)		Environmental Quality			
<b>Cost:</b> \$=0-\$200K, \$\$=\$200K-\$500K, \$\$\$=\$500K-\$5M, \$\$\$=\$5M		Local Economy			

**Cost:** \$=0-\$200K, \$\$=\$200K-\$500K, \$\$\$=\$500K-\$5M, \$\$\$\$=\$5N and above

Lead Agency: City or Other Agency

Suggested Timeframe: Short = Now to 2 years, Med= 3-5 years,

Long = Greater than 5 years

Environmental Quality

Local Economy

Public Health

Mobility Choice



**Community Equity** 

Lead: Enterprise Services Department (Fleet)

Subject Matter Expert: Jim Schmidt

#### **Current Status:**

- 4 new EV charging stations will be installed at Public Works Operations building.
- A new Grant Coordinator position (1 FTE) has been recruited to assist in researching and writing grants for EVs, charging infrastructure and alternative fuels.
- Fleet and Engineering are investigating PGE Fleet Partner Program for additional charging stations
- Fleet has been using 99% Renewable diesel for last 2 years reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of diesel engine vehicles by 66.1%

#### **Process:**

- Implementation of Fleet Climate Action Plan (in process)
- Policy Development for Transition to EV
- Planning for charging station locations and construction implementation
- Prioritizing gas vehicles for replacement by comparable EVs
- Research into costs/grants/budgetary requirements
- Budgeting
- Charging station installation and EV purchase.

## **Considerations:**

Partnership with PGE Fleet Program

Lack of EV charging stations at Shops/Operations/Key locations

## Implementation Strategies – Summary Sheet

Purchase w/i 2 years of one medium and one heavy duty vehicle required for PGE Fleet Program

Does electrification of fleet pencil out given short distances traveled and high cost of vehicles/batteries/storage?

#### Costs:

Staff time, construction and purchasing, maintenance Maintenance contract with outside vendors Grants may be available from PGE and ODOE ARPA money available for electrification of fleet

Anticipated Timeline: In Process/Ongoing

#### **Additional Information:**

### **Authority:**

Departmental and City Manager Action.

Council action for future grants and overall budget.

# Implementation Strategies – Summary Sheet

		_						
	GHG	Cost	Lead	Co-Benefits	Suggested			
MW04 -MATERIALS & WASTE	Reduction		Agency		Timeframe			
Write and implement a custainable nurchasing	Potential							
Write and implement a sustainable purchasing policy for the City to be informed by best								
practices, reducing GHG emissions, limiting				City				
harmful chemicals, prioritizing local businesses,	Low	\$	City		Short			
and ensuring safe and fair supply chains.								
GHG Reduction: Low, Medium, High (general guideline	<u> </u> 	En	l nvironment	l al Quality				
<b>Cost:</b> \$=0-\$200K, \$\$=\$200K-\$500K, \$\$\$=\$500K-\$5M, \$ and above	\$\$\$=\$5M	Lo						
	Lead Agency: City or Other Agency		Public Health					
			Mobility Choice					
Suggested Timeframe: Short = Now to 2 years, Med=	3-5 years,	ars,						
Long = Greater than 5 years	Community Equity							
Lead: Finance Department								
Subject Matter Expert: Shawna Self								
Current Status: City has an existing Administration	ive Policy and	Procedur	e for Susta	inable Business Op	perations (APP			
#3.11) that was created in 2011. This policy can	be reviewed	and upo	dated to e	nsure practices a	re in line with			
the City's Climate Action Plan.								
Process:								
Purchasing will initiate the review process of	existing polic	y, provid	de recomr	mended updates,	and forward			
on to other Departments for feedback and re		_	eviewing	policies from oth	er nearby cities			
(Eugene, Multnomah County, Bend) to help in	nform the up	dates.						
Considerations:								
Costs: Staff Time								

**Anticipated Timeline:** Completion in Fall/Winter 2022

**Authority:** Departmental and City Manager Approval

**Additional Information:** 

# Implementation Strategies – Summary Sheet

MW05 -MATERIALS & WASTE	GHG Reduction Potential	Cost	Lead Agency	Co-Benefits	Suggested Timeframe	
Develop more comprehensive sustainable specifications for City bidding/RFP processes.	Low	\$	City		Short	
GHG Reduction: Low, Medium, High (general guideline	e)	EI EI	nvironment	al Quality		
<b>Cost:</b> \$=0-\$200K, \$\$=\$200K-\$500K, \$\$\$=\$500K-\$5M, \$ and above	\$\$\$\$=\$5M	all	ocal Econom			
Lead Agency: City or Other Agency		(4)	ublic Health			
Suggested Timeframe: Short = Now to 2 years, Med=	3-5 years,	Ø N	Nobility Cho	ice		
Long = Greater than 5 years			Community I	Equity		
Lead: Finance Department (Contracting & Purch	asing)					
Subject Matter Expert: Shawna Self						
Current Status: Salem's Public Contracting Rules City's Rules were last amended in 2018. Rules she the City's Climate Action Plan.						
<b>Process:</b> Purchasing to meet with Legal and City be forwarded on to other Departments for feedba approves updates to Purchasing Rules.  All updates will need to be posted on City website	ack and review	v. City Co	ouncil is the	e Local Contract Rev	view Board and	
disseminated to City staff and posted on the City	· ·	Sustaine	ible Pulcila	ising and climate A	ction Plant and	
Rule updates will trigger updates to contract language and could impact Engineering design standards.						
Considerations						
Costs: Staff Time						
Anticipated Timeline: Initiate in Summer 2022						
Additional Information:						
Authority: City Council Approval						

#### Implementation Strategies – Summary Sheet

TL24 -TRANSPORTATION & LAND USE	GHG Reduction Potential	Cost	Lead Agency	Co-Benefits	Suggested Timeframe	
Charge for city-controlled parking (starting with on-street parking) using a supply/demand model intended to reduce parking in the central business district to 70-80% of supply.	High	\$\$	City		Short	
GHG Reduction: Low, Medium, High (general guideline)		Environmental Quality				
<b>Cost:</b> \$=0-\$200K, \$\$=\$200K-\$500K, \$\$\$=\$500K-\$5M, \$\$\$=\$5M and above		Local Economy				
Lead Agency: City or Other Agency		P	ublic Health			
Suggested Timeframe: Short = Now to 2 years, Med= 3-5 years,		M N	lobility Cho	ice		
Long = Greater than 5 years		(203) C	ommunity I	Fauity		

**Community Equity** 

**Lead:** Urban Development Department

Subject Matter Expert: Sheri Wahrgren

Current Status: On Hold

#### **Process:**

- 1) Council direction for staff to prepare an implementation strategy/plan for paid parking in downtown
- 2) Financial analysis to determine feasibility of converting free parking district model to paid on-street system
- 3) Determination of the number and locations of pay stations
- 4) Determination of cost estimates for removal of existing signage and installation of new. Cost estimates also for operating/maintaining budget assumption for paid parking system
- 5) Identification of funding source/budget for paid parking system
- 6) If RDURA is identified as funding source, an amendment to the RDURA Plan would be required
- 7) Development of communication strategy
- 8) Ordinance Bill to revise/delete SRC Chapter 7, Downtown Parking District
- 9) Revisions to Chapter 102, Parking

Review equipment contract to determine if any amendment/revisions are required. Order equipment which comes from Sweden, so lead time for delivery can be months. Coordination of equipment installation and signage removal and new signage installation Public education about pay station operation/use

#### **Considerations:**

Public Outreach - Communication strategy to be developed which would include at a minimum, downtown businesses, downtown property owners, all neighborhood associations, and chamber.

Initiate after November.

## Implementation Strategies – Summary Sheet

The Downtown Advisory Board submitted a memo in conjunction with their FY2022-23 Parking Fund Budget recommendations requesting that Council consider a motion to move forward paid parking in downtown.

Process and timing for eliminating current Parking Tax that businesses in downtown pay.

Updating of data could be undertaken prior to November.

#### Costs:

Anticipate consultant costs for communication strategy only.

Pending direction to move project forward.

Please note: Enforcement costs reside in the General Fund budget and would not be included as an expense against the revenue generated from paid on-street parking.

**Anticipated Timeline: TBD** 

#### **Additional Information:**

**Authority:** Council direction to undertake this work. Agency action for RDURA Plan Amendment. Departmental Action. Council action for code changes.

# Implementation Strategies – Summary Sheet

TL34 -TRANSPORTATION & LAND USE	GHG Reduction Potential	Cost	Lead Agency	Co-Benefits	Suggested Timeframe		
Implement telecommuting and flexible work hour policies for City employees when appropriate to work assignments. Encourage other employers in Salem, such as State agencies, to adopt similar policies.	Medium	\$	City		Short		
GHG Reduction: Low, Medium, High (general guideline)  Environmental Quality							
Cost: \$=0-\$200K, \$\$=\$200K-\$500K, \$\$\$=\$500K-\$5M, \$\$\$=\$5M and above							
Lead Agency: City or Other Agency		P	ublic Health				
Suggested Timeframe: Short = Now to 2 years, Med= 3-5 years,  Mobility Choice							
Long = Greater than 5 years	•	Community Equity					
Lead: Human Resources Department							
Subject Matter Expert: Human Resources & All D	epartment Di	rectors					
Current Status: Existing Administrative Policy an	d Procedure (	APP. 4.8	– Remote	Work Policy)			
<b>Process:</b> APP 4.8 was approved in 2021. This policy establishes procedures for City employees that are eligible to work from a remote worksite. Department Director's approve remote work options based on individual Department need.							
<b>Considerations:</b> Potential impacts from DEQ Rule on Commute Trip Reduction Program – currently under development (see TL21). DEQ Rulemaking Advisory Committee has been established.							
Costs: City Issued Equipment							
Anticipated Timeline: In Process - Ongoing							
Additional Information:							

**Authority:** City Manager Approval

# Implementation Strategies – Summary Sheet

EN04 -ENERGY	GHG Reduction Potential	Cost	Lead Agency	Co-Benefits	Suggested Timeframe			
Begin reporting community greenhouse gas emissions on a regular basis using a reporting platform that aligns with the Global Covenant of Mayors Common Reporting Framework.	Low	\$	City		Med			
GHG Reduction: Low, Medium, High (general guideline)  Environmental Quality								
Cost: \$=0-\$200K, \$\$=\$200K-\$500K, \$\$\$=\$500K-\$5M, \$\$\$=\$5M and above  Local Economy								
Lead Agency: City or Other Agency		Public Health						
Suggested Timeframe: Short = Now to 2 years, Med= 3-5 years, Long = Greater than 5 years			Mobility Choice					
			Community Equity					
Lead: Public Works Department								
Subject Matter Expert: TBD								
Current Status: Latest Community Greenhouse Ga	as (GHG) Inve	ntory wa	s complete	ed in 2019 (Cascadia	a Partners)			
<b>Process:</b> Staff have begun to request cost estimates for the	collection of	updated	GHG data	and new emissions	report.			
<b>Considerations:</b> ICLEI – Local Governments for Suevery two years.	stainability U	SA recon	nmends th	at GHG Inventories	be updated			
<b>Costs:</b> Initial estimate (ICLEI) for updated invento estimates will be solicited.	ry (data collec	ction and	inventory	report) is \$6,500. /	Additional			
Costs can increase substantially for the developme This may be a future consideration.	ent of an auto	mated tr	acking too	l and external facin	g dashboard.			
Anticipated Timeline: Initiate next update in 2022								

**Additional Information:** 

**Authority:** Departmental Approval