

## Shelby Guizar

---

**From:** Laurel Christian  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 8, 2022 5:04 PM  
**To:** Paul Tigan  
**Cc:** Shelby Guizar; Glenn Davis  
**Subject:** RE: Staff Report - Case No. CA22-04 for a Code Amendment to Chapters 77, 78, 200, and 803

Hello Paul,

Thank you for providing these comments. Your assumptions are correct, the proposed amendments to SRC 200.405(d) will allow greater flexibility for use of fee-in-lieu payments to fund infrastructure projects. The current code requires that if a fee-in-lieu is collected for A Street, for example, that fee must be spent on improvement to A Street. The proposed amendments would allow flexibility in how the fee-in-lieu is spent. The amendments will allow us to pool the fee-in-lieu funds collected to construct complete improvements that are identified as needed infrastructure projects.

Please feel free to reach out with any additional question or comments.

Thank you,

**Laurel Christian**

*Development Services Planner II*

City of Salem | Public Works Department

555 Liberty St SE, Suite 325, Salem OR 97301-3515

[Lchristian@cityofsalem.net](mailto:Lchristian@cityofsalem.net)

Office: 503-588-6211 ext.7445

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | [CityofSalem.net](#)



---

**From:** Paul Tigan <paultigan@hey.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 8, 2022 10:58 AM  
**To:** Shelby Guizar <SGuizar@cityofsalem.net>  
**Cc:** Laurel Christian <LChristian@cityofsalem.net>; Jeanne and Corbey Boatwright <cjboat835@yahoo.com>; aterp1@gmail.com; sam@salem1f.org; Marissa Theve <marissatheve@gmail.com>; Irma Coleman <IColeman@cityofsalem.net>  
**Subject:** Re: Staff Report - Case No. CA22-04 for a Code Amendment to Chapters 77, 78, 200, and 803

Hi Shelby and Laurel - we haven't considered this code amendment at the Grant Neighborhood Association, so please take these comments as my own and not on behalf of the Association.

Though these are complex and detailed amendments to the code, a line in your staff report stands out: "For example, a small partition on an underimproved local street could pay a fee-in-lieu of construction for a small boundary street improvement, and then those funds could be used to construct a critical pedestrian safety improvement on a nearby arterial street."

I personally believe that one effective pedestrian crossing is more useful than a random assortment of improvements near redeveloped properties. Grant has a number of disconnected bike lanes (ex: Market & Broadway) and many other neighborhoods have sidewalks to nowhere. If the goal of this change is to make the most out of the funds collected from redevelopment, then I am in support.

We have a few recent examples in Grant where multi-family projects with little-to-no off-street parking (as allowed for in recent code amendments) depend on good pedestrian infrastructure off the property in order to make sense. However, we've been told that the city can't require those improvements. We suggested improved pedestrian crossings on Fairgrounds Road for the Triangle Apartments and crossings on D Street for the Evergreen Church redevelopment.

Would this allow for the city to collect funds from these projects and deploy those funds to the needed infrastructure improvement?

Thanks again for the opportunity to comment. Here's to making every dollar count towards making the city a great place to walk, bike, drive, and live.

Paul

On November 8, 2022, Shelby Guizar <[sguizar@cityofsalem.net](mailto:sguizar@cityofsalem.net)> wrote:

Hello,

The Staff Report for Code Amendment Case No. CA22-04 for a Code Amendment to Chapters 77, 78, 200, and 803 is attached for your information. This case will be heard digitally before the Planning Commission on Tuesday, November 15, 2022.

**Please see the attached agenda for information on how to view or provide testimony for this digital public hearing.**

Please direct questions or comments to the **CASE MANAGER:**

**Laurel Christian, Development Services Planner II**

[LChristian@cityofsalem.net](mailto:LChristian@cityofsalem.net)

503-588-6211

Thank you,

**Shelby Guizar**

*Administrative Analyst*

City of Salem | Community Development Department

555 Liberty St SE, Suite 305, Salem, OR 97301

[SGuizar@cityofsalem.net](mailto:SGuizar@cityofsalem.net) | 503-540-2315

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [YouTube](#) | [CityofSalem.net](#)

**Due to limited staffing, the Planner's Desk has new temporary hours: 10-4 Monday-Friday**

**Questions on Zoning and Sign Permits can also be submitted by email to [Planning@cityofsalem.net](mailto:Planning@cityofsalem.net)**

---

**Shelby Guizar**

---

**From:** Roz Shirack <rozshirack7@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 10, 2022 2:25 PM  
**To:** Shelby Guizar  
**Cc:** Laurel Christian  
**Subject:** Public Works Code Amendment Case No. CA22-04

SCAN Comments for Planning Commission's November 15, 2022, public hearing, item 5.2:

The SCAN Land Use Committee has reviewed the proposed amendments and has no concerns.

Thank you,  
Roz Shirack, Chair  
SCAN Land Use Committee