# Si necesita ayuda para comprender esta informacion, por favor llame 503-588-6173

#### **DECISION OF THE HISTORIC LANDMARKS COMMISSION**

**HISTORIC DESIGN REVIEW CASE NO.: HIS20-07** 

APPLICATION NO.: 20-101965-DR

**NOTICE OF DECISION DATE: JUNE 19, 2020** 

**SUMMARY:** A proposal to replace a driveway, add a fence and gate and install new windows on the east and west facades of the Stiffler House (1895).

**REQUEST:** Major historic design review of a proposal to replace a driveway, add a fence and gate and two windows on the east and west facades of the Stiffler House (1895) a historic contributing resource within the Court-Chemeketa National Register Historic District on property zoned RD (Duplex Residential) and located at 1677 Chemeketa Street NE, (Marion County Tax Assessorfts Number: 073W26AB10500).

**APPLICANT:** Matthew Miller

**LOCATION:** 1677 Chemeketa Street NE

CRITERIA: Salem Revised Code (SRC) Chapter 230.065 - General Guidelines for

Historic Contributing Resources

FINDINGS: The findings are in the attached Decision dated June 19, 2020.

**DECISION:** The **Historic Landmarks Commission APPROVED** Historic Design Review HIS20-07 based upon the application materials deemed complete on February 27, 2020 and the findings as presented in this report.

This Decision becomes effective on <u>July 7, 2020</u>. No work associated with this Decision shall start prior to this date unless expressly authorized by a separate permit, land use decision, or provision of the Salem Revised Code (SRC).

VOTE:

Yes 9 No 0 Absent 0

Jamle French, Chair

Historic Landmarks Commission

The rights granted by the attached decision must be exercised, or an extension granted, by <u>July 7, 2022</u>, or this approval shall be null and void.

HIS20-07 – Decision June 19, 2020 Page 2

Application Deemed Complete: February 27, 2020

Public Hearing Date: May 21, 2020
Notice of Decision Mailing Date: June 19, 2020
Decision Effective Date: July 7, 2020
State Mandate Date: July 26, 2020

Case Manager: Kimberli Fitzgerald, kfitzgerald@cityofsalem.net, 503-540-2397

This decision is final unless written appeal from an aggrieved party is filed with the City of Salem Planning Division by 5:00 p.m., Monday, July 6, 2020. PLEASE NOTE: Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, City of Salem Offices are closed to the public until further notice. The notice of appeal can be submitted electronically at planning@cityofsalem.net or mailed to City of Salem Planning, Room 320, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem OR 97301. The appeal must be received by the above date and time. Any person who presented evidence or testimony at the hearing may appeal the decision. The notice of appeal must contain the information required by SRC 300.1020 and must state where the decision failed to conform to the provisions of the applicable code section, SRC Chapter 230. The appeal must be filed in duplicate with the City of Salem Planning Division. The appeal fee must be paid at the time of filing. If the appeal is untimely and/or lacks the proper fee, the appeal will be rejected. The Hearings Officer will review the appeal at a public hearing. After the hearing, the Hearings Officer may amend, rescind, or affirm the action, or refer the matter to staff for additional information.

The complete case file, including findings, conclusions and conditions of approval, if any, is available for review at the Planning Division office, Room 320, City Hall, 555 Liberty Street SE, during regular business hours. For access to case related documents during the closure of City Hall to the public because of the Covid-19 pandemic, please contact the Case Manager.

http://www.cityofsalem.net/planning

\\allcity\amanda\amandaforms\4431Type2-3NoticeOfDecision.doc

#### Si necesita ayuda para comprender esta informacion, por favor llame 503-588-6173

#### DECISION OF THE SALEM HISTORIC LANDMARKS COMMISSION

CASE NO. Historic Review Case No. HIS20-07

**FINDINGS:** Based upon the application materials, the facts and findings in the Staff Report incorporated herein by reference, and testimony provided at the Public Hearing of May 21, 2020, and deliberations on June 18, 2020 the Historic Landmarks Commission (HLC) finds that the applicant adequately demonstrated that their proposal complies with the applicable provisions of the Salem Revised Code (SRC) 230.065 as follows:

#### **FINDINGS**

Applicable Criteria: Chapter 230 General guidelines for Historic Contributing Resources 230.065

#### **FINDINGS**

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this Chapter, the property shall be used for its historic purpose, or for a similar purpose that will not alter street access, landscape design, entrance(s), height, footprint, fenestration, or massing.

**Finding:** The applicant will continue to use the property as a residence, which is its historic purpose. The HLC finds that guideline has been met.

(b) Historic materials, finishes and distinctive features shall, when possible, be preserved and repaired according to historic preservation methods, rather than restored.

**Finding:** The applicant is not proposing to repair or restore any original material as part of this proposal, therefore the HLC finds that Guideline 230.065 (b) is not applicable to the evaluation of this proposal.

(c) Distinctive stylistic features or examples of skilled craftsmanship significance shall be treated with sensitivity.

**Finding**: The HLC finds that there are no distinctive stylistic features proposed for removal, reconstruction, or repair and Guideline 230.065 (c) does not apply to the evaluation of this proposal.

(d) Historic features shall be restored or reconstructed only when supported by physical or photographic evidence.

**Finding:** The applicant is not proposing to restore or reconstruct any features as part of this proposal. The HLC finds that Guideline 230.065 (d) is not applicable to the evaluation of this proposal.

(e) Changes that have taken place to a historic resource over the course of time are evidence of the history and development of a historic resource and its environment, and should be recognized and respected. These changes may have acquired significance in their own right, and this significance should be recognized and respected.

**Finding:** The HLC finds that there are no distinctive historic materials or features that have acquired significance in their own right within the scope of this project and that SRC 230.065 (e) does not apply to the evaluation of this proposal.

(f) Additions and alterations to a historic resource shall be designed and constructed to minimize changes to the historic resource.

**Finding:** The HLC finds that the proposed alterations are compatible with the size and scale of the Stiffler House, and that SRC 230.065(f) has been met. The applicant requested retroactive approval for the installation of the driveway and the two windows. The HLC finds that the new driveway is concrete, and no features of the site or resource were altered as a result of its construction. The HLC finds that the new windows will be trimmed in the same manner as the remaining windows throughout and painted to match. The HLC finds that while original siding has been removed from the east and western facades causing a loss of original integrity, these alterations are located on secondary facades, minimizing the adverse effect of these alterations to the resource. The HLC finds that the proposed cedar fence and gate extending across the driveway at the west end of the site will not be attached to the resource and will not result in any alterations to the resource.

(g) Additions and alterations shall be constructed with the least possible loss of historic materials and so that significant features are not obscured, damaged, or destroyed.

**Finding:** The HLC finds that the proposed alterations are compatible with the size and scale of the Stiffler House, and that SRC 230.065(g) has been met. The HLC finds that while original historic siding materials has been lost, the location of the new openings are on secondary facades, minimizing the adverse effect of these alterations. The HLC finds that the siding that has been removed is not character defining or significant, and the overall form of the gable ends and roofline has been maintained. The HLC finds that the proposed fence and gate will not obscure any significant features of the Stiffler House, as it is located at the west end of the site, set back from the primary façade and not attached to the resource.

(h) Structural deficiencies in a historic resource shall be corrected without visually changing the composition, design, texture or other visual qualities.

**Finding:** While the applicant is not proposing alterations to the Stiffler House structure to correct structural deficiencies, the applicant is requesting retroactive approval for the installation of a new concrete driveway (12' wide and 80' in length) in order to correct deficiencies that existed with the driveway. The HLC finds that the original driveway was in poor condition and not improved to current City standards (SRC 806.025(e)) and was comprised of gravel, mud and grass. The HLC finds that the proposal to correct the deficiency in the driveway does not adversely affect any character defining features of the Stiffler House or the site, and that Guideline 230.065 (h) has been met.

HIS20-07 June 19, 2020 Page 3

(i) Excavation or re-grading shall not be allowed adjacent to or within the site of a historic resource which could cause the foundation to settle, shift, or fail, or have a similar effect on adjacent historic resources.

**Finding:** While the applicant improved the driveway adjacent to the west side of the house which required a minimum amount of surface scraping to level the surface prior to paving, this work did not include significant excavation or grading that could adversely affect the foundation of the Stiffler House (ie. no Public Works permit was required). Therefore, the HLC finds that Guideline 230.065 (i) has been met for this proposal.

The Historic Landmarks Commission APPROVES the proposal.

VOTE: Yes 9 No 0 Absent 0 Abstain 0

Attachments: A. Hearing Notice and Vicinity Map

B. Excerpt from National Register Historic Resource Document

C. Applicant's Submittal Materials

Prepared by Kimberli Fitzgerald, Historic Preservation Officer

G:\CD\PLANNING\HISTORIC\DECISIONS\2020\HIS20-07 1677 CHEMEKETA St. NE. Dec.doc



# **HEARING NOTICE**

# LAND USE REQUEST AFFECTING THIS AREA

There is a development proposal for the property listed in this notice and shown on the attached map. The City is seeking input from neighbors on the proposal. If you have questions or comments about the proposal, contact the case manager.

Esta carta es un aviso sobre una propuesta de desarrollo para la propiedad enumerada y que se muestra en el mapa adjunto. La ciudad está buscando la opinión de los vecinos sobre la propuesta. Si tiene preguntas o comentarios sobre la propuesta, póngase en contacto con nosotros al 503-588-6213

CASE NUMBER: Historic Design Review Case No. HIS20-07

HEARING

Historic Landmarks Commission, Thursday, March 19, 2020, 5:30 P.M., Council

INFORMATION: Chambers, Room 240, Civic Center, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, OR 97301

**PROPERTY LOCATION:** 1677 Chemeketa St NE, Salem OR 97301

**SUMMARY:** A proposal to replace a driveway, add a fence and gate and install new windows

on the east and west facades of the Stiffler House (1895).

CASE MANAGER: Kimberli Fitzgerald, Historic Preservation Officer, City of Salem Planning

Division, 555 Liberty Street SE, Room 305, Salem, OR 97301. Telephone: 503-

540-2397; E-mail: kfitzgerald@cityofsalem.net.

NEIGHBORHOOD

Neighborhood associations are volunteer organizations of neighbors coming together to make neighborhoods the best they can be. They receive notice of lan

together to make neighborhoods the best they can be. They receive notice of land use applications within their boundaries, and they often submit comments on the

applications to the City. Neighborhood association meetings are open to

everyone. Contact your neighborhood association to get involved:

Northeast Neighbors (NEN), Joan Lloyd, Historic Land Use; Email:

jello879@gmail.com.

**STAFF REPORT:** The Staff Report will be available seven (7) days prior to the hearing and will

thereafter be posted on the Community Development website:

https://www.cityofsalem.net/notice.

ACCESS: The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) accommodations will be provided on

request.

CRITERIA: Salem Revised Code (SRC) Chapter(s) 230.065 - General Guidelines for Historic

Contributing Resources

Salem Revised Code (SRC) is available to view at this link:

http://bit.ly/salemorcode. Type in the chapter number(s) listed above to view the

applicable criteria.

**OWNER/APPLICANT:** 

Matthew Miller

PROPOSAL REQUEST:

Major historic design review of a proposal to replace a driveway, add a fence and gate and two windows on the east and west facades of the Stiffler House (1895) a historic contributing resource within the Court-Chemeketa National Register Historic District on property zoned RD (Duplex Residential) and located at 1677 Chemeketa Street NE, (Marion County Tax Assessorfts Number: 073W26AB10500).

HOW TO PROVIDE TESTIMONY:

Any person wishing to speak either for or against the proposed request may do so in person or by representative at the Public Hearing. Written comments may also be submitted at the Public Hearing. Include case number with the written comments. Prior to the Public Hearing, written comments may be filed with the Salem Planning Division, Community Development Department, 555 Liberty Street SE, Room 305, Salem, Oregon 97301. Only those participating at the hearing, in person or by submission of written testimony, have the right to appeal the decision.

**HEARING PROCEDURE:** 

The hearing will be conducted with the staff presentation first, followed by the applicant's case, neighborhood organization comments, testimony of persons in favor or opposition, and rebuttal by the applicant, if necessary. The applicant has the burden of proof to show that the approval criteria can be satisfied by the facts. Opponents may rebut the applicant's testimony by showing alternative facts or by showing that the evidence submitted does not satisfy the approval criteria. A hearing is not a venue to ask questions of staff, the applicant or the decision maker(s) on this case but rather an opportunity to provide testimony to the decision maker(s) on the merits of the land use case; questions about the application, the recommended conditions of approval, or the Planning Administrator's recommendation, should be directed to the Case Manager prior to the hearing.

Any participant may request an opportunity to present additional evidence or testimony regarding the application. A ruling will then be made to either continue the Public Hearing to another date or leave the record open to receive additional written testimony. Failure to raise an issue in person or by letter prior to the close of the Public Hearing with sufficient specificity to provide the opportunity to respond to the issue, precludes appeal to the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA) on this issue. A similar failure to raise constitutional issues relating to proposed conditions of approval precludes an action for damages in circuit court.

Following the close of the Public Hearing a decision will be issued and mailed to the applicant, property owner, affected neighborhood association, anyone who participated in the hearing, either in person or in writing, and anyone who requested to receive notice of the decision.

MORE INFORMATION:

Documents and evidence submitted by the applicant are available for review and paper copies can be obtained at a reasonable cost. You can also find out more information about the status of the proposed application on the City's online Permit Application Center at <a href="https://permits.cityofsalem.net">https://permits.cityofsalem.net</a>. Just enter the permit number listed here: 20 101965

**NOTICE MAILING DATE:** 

February 27, 2020

# PLEASE PROMPTLY FORWARD A COPY OF THIS NOTICE TO ANY OTHER OWNER, TENANT OR LESSEE. For more information about Planning in Salem:


http://www.cityofsalem.net/planning

It is the City of Salem's policy to assure that no person shall be discriminated against on the grounds of race, religion, color, sex, marital status, familial status, national origin, age, mental or physical disability, sexual orientation, gender identity and source of income, as provided by Salem Revised Code Chapter 97. The City of Salem also fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and related statutes and regulations, in all programs and activities. Disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, in order to participate in this meeting or event, are available upon request. Sign language and interpreters for languages other than English are also available upon request. To request such an accommodation or interpretation, contact the Community

Development Department at 503-588-6173 at least three business days before this meeting or event.

TTD/TTY telephone 503-588-6439 is also available 24/7

# Vicinity Map 1677 Chemeketa St NE



### **ATTACHMENT B**



95. <u>RADY AND GRACE STIFFLER HOUSE</u> (c.1895) PRIMARY (Contributing) 1677 Chemeketa Street, NE; Assessor's Map 26AB073W; 073W-26AB-10500; Tax Lot 1-84400-470 Owner: Florence Marie Berry, 1677 Chemeketa Street NE, Salem, Oregon 97301

Description and Cultural Data: This is a side-gabled vernacular wood house of one-and-one-half stories, with a one-story rear-gabled wing in the back. The house has two full porches: the one across the back (north) is supported by turned columns, has "gingerbread" detailing, and probably is original to the house, suggesting a building date in the 1890's; the front porch is a later addition, of perhaps about 1910, in a Craftsman style. It is formed by an extended, flared-roof section and supported by four squared piers atop a boarded balustrade. The cornice returns on the side-facing gables terminate arbitrarily to allow for the new porch configuration. A flared, hipped dormer probably was added at the time of reworking the roof and adding the porch. Exterior walls are covered in simple dropsiding except for the vertical boards of the skirting of the front porch. Carrie E. Nichols purchased the lot from the developer O. E. Krausse in 1890 and probably built the original version of the house soon after, though there is no evidence that she actually lived there. As Carrie Nichols Reeves, she sold the house in 1909 to Rady and Grace Stiffler, who are listed as living there by 1911. He was a carpenter and she a dressmaker. Mrs. Stiffler lived on in the house until about 1929.

# OREGON INVENTORY OF HISTORIC PROPERTIES HISTORIC RESOURCE SURVEY FORM

HIST.	NAME:	DATE OF CONSTRUCTION:			
COMMON	N NAME:	ORIGINAL USE:			
ADDRES					
95	Rady and Grace Stir	ffler House (c. 1895)			
		eet, NE; Assessor's Map 26AB 7-3W; Tax Lot 84400-470			
	Owner: Florence Berry, 1677 Chemeketa Street, NE, Salem, OR 97301				
Primary					
TIPE.	LOT: C	QUAD:			
DLUCK					
PLAN TYPE/S	SHAPE:	NO. OF STORIES:			
FOUNDATION	MATERIAL:	BASEMENT (Y/N):			
COOK FORM 8	WOTERTOLES				
WALL CONSTR	RUCTION:	STRUCTURAL ERAME-			
PRIMARY WIN	one-and-one-half sto house was two full p	cural Data: This is a side-gabled vernacular wood house of pries, with a one-story rear-gabled wing at the back. The porches: the one across the back (north) is supported by "gingerbread" detailing, and probably is original to the building date in the 1890's; the front porch is a later			
		EATURES:			
KNOWN	ARCHEOLOGICAL FEA	ATURES:			
further who also the P 1 News					
	balustrade. The conily to allow for the was added at the time walls are covered in skirting of the from developer O. E. Krauhouse soon after, the As Carrie Nichols Rewho are listed as listed.	s about 1910, in a Craftsman style. It is formed by an ex- section and supported by four squared piers atop a boarded rnice returns on the side-facing gables terminate arbitrar- e new porch configuration. A flared, hipped dormer probably me of reworking the roof and adding the porch. Exterior n simple drop siding except for the vertical boards of the nt porch. Carrie E. Nichols purchased the lot from the usse in 1890 and probably built the original version of the hough there is no evidence that she actually lived there. eeves, she sold the house in 1909 to Rady and Grace Stiffler iving there by 1911. He was a carpenter and she a dressmake on in the house until about 1929.			
SOURCES:					
NEGAT	IVE NO.:	RECORDED BY:			
	NO.:				
		SHEO INVENTORY NO.:			



# **ATTACHMENT C**

Case No.	HIS20-07

# Historic Alteration Review - Residential Resource Worksheet

Site Address: _	1677 Chemek	<u>ceta</u>	Resource Status: x Contributing
			□ Non- Contributing
Type of Wor	k Activity Pro	posed	
Major X	Minor 🗆		
Replacement,	Alteration, Res	toration or Addition of:	
Architectural Fea	ture:	Landscape Feature:	New Construction:
□ Deck		X Fence	☐ Addition
□ Door		□ Retaining wall	□ New Accessory Structure
□ Exterior Trim		XOther Site feature-Driveway	
□ Porch		□ Streetscape	
□ Roof			
□ Siding			
. ,	nber of windows:		
☐ Other architectu	iral feature (describe	e)	
Will the proposed	alteration be visible	from any public right-of-way?	X YES 🗆 NO
Project's Existing I	Material:	Project's New	Material:
Project Descri	ntion		
	<u> </u>		
Chapter 230. Plea		onal information (i.e., product spe	t meets the applicable design criteria in SRC cification sheets) that will help Staff and the
Proposal t	o install two new wir	ndows (east/west façade); new co	ncrete driveway and new fence and gate
Signature of App	licant		Date Submitted/Signed



SCALE: NTS






Dog Boards Mar Sute 3. Hinged Carrier Driveral Lorde hiracal
frether to ollow
retients overss Cader from 6 + 1011
boards 5-3 mide , 6 toll Province of Block Hoodings



Cedar fence with gate abutting historic house (example)

word, parted to we be town Source siers - 184 pillows. - tratition brown - New Town will be An world his ( yer athered picture) Proposed west window # - without wood Double Hary Tith Pac. Co yet short abbolish No Coulter Hong proposed Fort window same on opposit side



Proposed East window same or opposite side Proposed window Location (see offacted Drawing) Free Estimates!

# Section 08 01 52 Ultimate Wood Double Hung Tilt Pac

Part 1 General

### 1.1 Section Includes

A. Ultimate Wood Double Hung Tilt Pac replacement sash complete with hardware, glazing, weather trip, insect screen, grilles-between-the-glass, simulated divided lite, standard or specified anchors trim and attachments.

### 1.2 Related Sections

- B. Section 01 33 23 Submittal Procedures; Shop Drawings, Product Data and Samples
- C. Section 01 62 00 Product Options
- D. Section 01 65 00 Product Delivery
- E. Section 01 66 00 Storage and Handling Requirements
- F. Section 01 71 00 Examination and Preparation
- G. Section 01 73 00 Execution
- H. Section 01 74 00 Cleaning and Waste Management
- I. Section 01 76 00 Protecting Installed Construction
- J. Section 06 22 00 Millwork: Wood trim other than furnished by window manufacturer
- K. Section 07 92 00 Joint Sealant: Sill sealant and perimeter caulking
- L. Section 09 90 00 Painting and Coasting: Paint and stain other than factory applied finish

### 1.3 References

- M. American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM):
  - 1. E2190: Specification for Sealed Insulated Glass Units
  - 2. C1036: Standard Specification for Flat Glass
- N. WDMA I.S.4: Industry Standard for Water Repellent Preservative Treatment for Millwork
- O. Sealed Insulating Glass Manufactures Association/Insulating Glass Certification Council (SIGMA/IGCC)
- P. American Architectural Manufactures Association (AAMA): 2605: Voluntary Specification for High Performance Organic Coatings on Architectural Extrusions and Panels
- Q. National Fenestration rating Council (NFRC):
  - 3. 101: Procedure for Determining Fenestration Product thermal Properties
  - 4. 200: Procedure for Determining Solar Heat Grain Coefficients at Normal Incidence

#### 1.4 Submittals

- R. Shop Drawings: Submit shop drawings under provision of Section 01 33 23
- S. Protect Data: Submit catalog data under provision of Section 01 33 23
- T. Samples:
  - 5. Submit corner section under provision of section 01 33 23

- 10. Kiln dried to moisture content no greater than twelve (12) percent at the time of fabrication
- 11. Water repellent preservative treated with accordance with WDMA I.S.4.
- AF. Sash thickness: 1 5/16" (33mm) for operable units
- AG. Bottom rail bevel 14 degrees
- AH. Interior Sash Sticking: Ovolo

## 2.3 Glazing

- AI. Select quality complying with ASTM C1036. Insulating glass SIGMA/IGCC certified to performance level CBA when tested in accordance with ASTM E2190
- AJ. Glazing method: Insulating glass, single glaze with or w/out energy panel
- AK. Glazing seal: Silicone bedding
- AL. Glass Type: Clear, Bronze, Gray, Reflective Bronze, Tempered, Obscure, Laminated, Low E2 with or without Argon, Low E3 with or without Argon, Low E1 with or without Argon

## 2.4 Finish

- AM. Interior/Exterior: Treated bare wood
  - 12. Prime: Factory applied enamel primer. Available on Pine product only.
- AN. Interior Finish options:
  - Painted Interior Finish. Available on Pine product only. Available in White or Designer Black. Meets WDMA TM-14 requirements.
  - 14. Factory applied water-borne acrylic enamel clear coat. Applied in two separate coats with light sanding between coats. Available on Pine, Mahogany, and Vertical Grain Douglas Fir. Meets WDMA TM-14 requirements.
  - 15. Factory applied water-borne urethane stain. Stain applied over a wood (stain) conditioner. A water-borne acrylic enamel clear coat applied in two separate coats, with light sanding between coats, applied over the stain. Available on Pine, Mahogany, and Vertical Grain Douglas Fir. Colors available: Wheat, Honey, Hazelnut, Leather, Cabernet, and Espresso. Meets WDMA TM-14 requirements.

#### 2.5 Hardware

- AO. Balance system: Double coil spring block and tackle with nylon cord and metal clutch
- AP. Jamb track: Vinyl extrusion with foam backing
  - 16. Color: Beige, white
- AQ. Lock: High pressure zinc die-cast cam lock and keeper
  - 17. Two locks on glass sizes of 36" (914mm) and wider
  - 18. Finish: Phosphate coated and electrostatically painted Satin Taupe
    - a. Optional: Bronze, White, Plated Brass, Antique Brass, Satin Chrome, Oil Rubbed Bronze, Satin Nickel, Polished Chrome
- AR. Sash retainer plates: Polycarbonate thermoplastic
  - 19. Color: Bronze, White
- AS. Sash lift: High pressure zinc die-cast with finish matching lock

# 2.6 Weather Strip

AT. Dual durometer double leaf weather strip at check rail; bulb type dual durometer weather strip

- Bl. Verification of Condition: Before installation, verify openings are plumb, square and of proper dimensions as required in Section 01 71 00. Report frame defects or unsuitable conditions to the General contractor before proceeding.
- BJ. Acceptance of Condition: Beginning on installation confirms acceptance of existing conditions

### 3.2 Installation

- BK. Comply with Section 01 73 00.
- BL. Assemble and install window/door unit(s) according to manufacturer's instruction and reviewed shop drawing.
- BM. Install sealant and related backing materials at perimeter of unit or assembly in accordance with Section 07 92 00 Joint Sealants. Do not use expansive foam sealant.
- BN. Install accessory items as required.
- BO. Use finish nails to apply wood trim and mouldings.

# 3.3 Field Quality Control

- BP. Remove visible labels and adhesive residue according to manufacturer's instruction.
- BQ. Unless otherwise specified, air leakage resistance tests shall be conducted at a uniform static pressure of 75 Pa (~1.57 psf). The maximum allowable rate of air leakage shall not exceed 2.3 L/sm² (~0.45 cfm/ft²).
- BR. Unless otherwise specified, water penetration resistance testing shall be conducted per AAMA 502 and ASTM E1105 at 2/3 of the fenestration products design pressure (DP) rating using "Procedure B" cyclic static air pressure difference. Water penetration shall be defined in accordance with the test method(s) applied.

# 3.4 Cleaning

- BS. Remove visible labels and adhesive residue according to manufacturer's instruction.
- BT. Leave windows and glass in a clean condition. Final cleaning as required in Section 01 74 00.

# 3.5 Protecting Installed Construction

- BU. Comply with Section 07 76 00.
- BV. Protecting windows from damage by chemicals, solvents, paint or other construction operations that may cause damage.

#### **End of Section**

Doc. 64334 Rev. 7/29/2019 Section 08 01 52 ~ 1 ~ Ultimate Wood Double Hung Tilt Pac CHECK MARVIN ONLINE DOCS FOR LATEST VERSION Printed on: 7/29/2019 10:49 AM